

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT TACOMA

DONALD E. MORISKY,

Plaintiff,

v.

MMAS RESEARCH LLC, et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:21-CV-1301-RSM-DWC

ORDER

On September 14, 2022, the Court directed Defendants to submit a Proposed Second Amended Answer to Second Amended Complaint, Second Amended Affirmative Defenses and Second Amended Counterclaim (“proposed second amended answer”) that complied with the Court’s Order. Dkt. 86. Defendants emailed a copy of the proposed second amended answer to the Court and opposing counsel on September 29, 2022. Based on a review of the proposed second amended answer, it appears portions of the proposed second amended answer are outside the scope of the Court’s Order. Therefore, the Court directs the following:

Defendants are directed to file the proposed second amended answer on or before October 7, 2022. The document shall be titled “[Proposed] Defendants’ Second Amended

1 Answer to Second Amended Complaint, Second Amended Affirmative Defenses and Second  
2 Amended Counterclaims” and the docket shall reflect the filing is the Proposed Second  
3 Amended Answer to Second Amended Complaint, Second Amended Affirmative Defenses and  
4 Second Amended Counterclaim.

5 If Plaintiff has any objections to the scope of the proposed second amended answer, he  
6 may file any such objections on or before October 14, 2022. If no objections are filed, the Court  
7 will docket the proposed second amended answer as Defendants’ Second Amended Answer to  
8 Second Amended Complaint, Second Amended Affirmative Defenses and Second Amended  
9 Counterclaim.

10 Dated this 4th day of October, 2022.

11   
12

13 David W. Christel  
14 United States Magistrate Judge  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24